

MSD Lick Run Community Design Workshop 3: February 23, 2012

1. After seeing the presentation, do you have a better understanding of what MSD is proposing to implement as part of an alternative solution for CSO reduction?		2. What are the strengths of the preliminary Urban Waterway Plan?		3. What are the weaknesses of the preliminary Urban Waterway Plan?		4. What, if any potential refinements would you propose for the preliminary Urban Waterway Plan?		5. Given your understanding at this point, do you support MSD's investment in the alternative to the deep tunnel?		6. What are the strengths of the Long-Term Watershed Vision Plan?		7. What are the weaknesses of the Long-Term Watershed Vision Plan?		8. What, if any potential refinements would you propose for the Long-Term Watershed Vision Plan?		9. Do you feel better informed after this meeting than before?		10. Did you attend previous Lick Run Community Design Workshops?		11. Additional comments?		Contact Information											
YES	NO	Comment		Comment		Comment		YES	NO	Comment		Comment		Comment		YES	NO	Comment		YES	NO	CDW1 08/11	CDW2 10/11	Comments	Name	Email	Phone						
1	1		stream daylighting; stormwater management; urban revitalization; CSO reduction	A potential weakness is that polluted stormwater will flow untreated to the Mill Creek rather than flowing treated to the Ohio River. The US EPA, Department of Justice, federal Court and Sierra Club should give MSD some credit for treating polluted stormwater that flows to the Mill Creek Wastewater Treatment Plant via the combined sewers. Perhaps this unpaid treatment service could at least be valued as a local match (in-kind service) to a federal grant.			1				More infiltration of stormwater; less sewer overflow			less treatment at Mill Creek WWTP of polluted stormwater; no discussion of a high flow treatment facility, similar to the plant for SSO 700 in Reading.	Continue to concentrate on the elimination of sewer overflows, but not at the expense of eliminating all combined sewers. When combined sewers carry polluted stormwater to Mill Creek WWTP, the combined sewers are benefitting the Mill Creek and Ohio River.	1				1		1							Bruce Koehler, brucekoehler@oki.org	(513) 619-7675			
2	1		It appears it provides a more natural setting. It provides an open water way.	Urban Waterway Plan needs to clearly address transportation. I believe workshop #2 focused on this.	What will the residents of the Lick Run Watershed Alternate be required to do about their property runoff? (roof, driveway, etc.)			1			See #2		System Maintenance needs to be addressed.			1				1			1	The second workshop should have been located @ Orion Academy. These people are the most affected by this Design. If you have another workshop place it close to the source.	David Finko	dfinko6@yahoo.com	481-4220						
3	1		Great looking park- should be a popular place.	Perpetuates the thoroughfare character of Westwood & Queen City, akin to a doubleloaded corridor.	Put in place "bones" for the intersection at the center of the community, where "Main St" crosses "Broad St"			1			Could create a viable neighborhood business district		Where's the focal point of the area?			1				1				Did attend a previous public presentation.									
4	1		1. Input from residents & businesses 2. Variety of approaches 3. Will enhance & encourage the value of the neighborhood 4. Will cost far less than the tunnel		I liked the idea of allowing space for a farmer's market.			1																									
5	1	Very clear plan and presentation	It builds on existing natural and built resources	Lack of identified funding for enhancements and lack of funding for traffic mitigation.	Keep as many existing buildings as possible. The major ones and the background buildings.			1		Great Job!	Solves a sewer problem and makes an asset for the community; keeps historic buildings.		Traffic will still be a negative element in the district.	Keep more buildings, find ways to restore buildings on Northside of Queen City.	This was the first time a vision was presented. It looked well through out and delightful.	1				1			1	Great job of incorporating diverse input and of historic resources.	Paul Muller, AIA	director@cincinnatiipreservation.com	513-721-4506						
6	1							1								1							1	Also attended a Fous Group. I don't think the South Fairmont CC should be the "official" voice since it only has a small group of paying members whose views don't agree with mine. Attended a focus group. How do you determine if the community does/does not approve the alternative plan? The South Fairmount CC may be the "official" voice of the community, but it has a membership (dues paying, that is of \$1.00/yr) of only 36 or so as of its February meeting. If it says no to the alternative, they didn't get my vote.	Dave LaDow		739-5046						
7	1	Absolutely. You did a great job.						1								1							1							Kathy LaDow	319-5826		
8	1	I've heard a lot about it before.	It will revitalize the neighborhood.	Need to put more energy into the hills around the basin. Price Hill is essential to work on.	You really need to include public transportation. Special lane for buses or light rail needs to be added!!!			1																									
9	1		More sustainable and has less maintenance costs than the default plan. Probably better for the economy & people's health.	Should put more effort into explaining the economic benefits of the alternative project.	More incentives for individuals in the community that implement green infrastructure. For example, free rain barrels, rebates for rain gardens, etc.			1																									
10	1		Redevelopment of South Fairmount.					1																							Becky Leppert	bleppert@fuse.net	921-4197
11	1		Not sure	Not Sure				1			Not Sure		Funding			1															J. Binz	JohnBinz@cincinnati.gov	
12	1		Cheaper and more aesthetic than "default" plan	A good choice but something of a "Hobsen's Choice"	Assist individual property owners & individual rain run off management in planning, at least maybe in access to development monies.			1			Not Sure, this part was more nebulous			Tell me more details		1				1			1	I'm glad we have room in our discussion for every one even if we are made uncomfortable by their comments.	Mainerd Sorensen	mainerd-sorensen@hotmail.com	513-675-2731						
13	1		More green space.					1								1															Nicole Niehaus	persianilacs@yahoo.com	513-546-8405
14	1		We can see our money being used in a more useful way. Creating waterway, business opportunities, recreation.	Eyesore (only if maintenance is not completed.)	None			1					It may split the community.			1							1									Rick Drout	85-743-3543
15	1	Somewhat by why has it taken 40 years? Why so much green space? Won't that cost more? What properties do you plan on buying?	Makes neighborhood look good. Maybe my sewage system would stop backing up.	Loss of jobs, homes. Increased sewage & water rates for homeowners. The base project should tax everyone in the area. Will you be exercising eminent domain?	Should we call MSD when storm drains are clogged with leaves, grass clippings, garbage, etc? Why are you surveying my yard?			1			May improve/beautify the area.		May run out of money & then what?	Would a 1 year levy or sales tax increase help? After all, we have two new stadium that we're paying for that I've never used.		1		Somewhat		1				MSD no longer corrects sewage backups (why not?) Should I pay someone to repair it or is the program going to alleviate the problem. Also, did this problem cause my current problem?	Anna W. Ramsey		513-662-0296						
16	1		Song birds, nature, treats to clean the air. Resurrecting a stream- look at all the streams that are gone now in 2012. Bioremediation instead of another tunnel underground sewer. Liveable, more desirable area that isn't what it used to be. Improvement like walkways.		Have as much shade & trees as possible.			1		If it works as well to get rid of combined sewers.	Clean water, livability.		Have other contributors other than just raising utility bills. Parks recreation, infrastructure funds, etc.	Shade the ponds parts other streams for the summer droughts (cool spots), community gardens or farm area (cooperative-tomatoes,lettuce)		1																Wes Wienmann	
17	1		Improved visual impact of area. Responsible manner of reaching watershed objective.	Traffic flow still heavy. Parking for residents still a problem, esp. Westwood Ave.	Incorporate the MSD project into the reconstruction of Harrison due to begin soon.			1		(Put three X's on Yes to show enthusiastic support)	Lower cost, more benefit to community		Parking for residents, esp on Westwood Ave. Separating commuter traffic from residential would greatly assist safety for both groups.			1							1	Glad you listen to viaduct reconstruction group. They are offering possibility of new viaduct built alongside current viaduct. How? Where?	Pam Petranek	CiniPam@cinci.rr.com	921-2335						

MSD Lick Run Community Design Workshop 3: February 23, 2012

1. After seeing the presentation, do you have a better understanding of what MSD is proposing to implement as part of an alternative solution for CSO reduction?		2. What are the strengths of the preliminary Urban Waterway Plan?		3. What are the weaknesses of the preliminary Urban Waterway Plan?		4. What, if any potential refinements would you propose for the preliminary Urban Waterway Plan?		5. Given your understanding at this point, do you support MSD's investment in the alternative to the deep tunnel?		6. What are the strengths of the Long-Term Watershed Vision Plan?		7. What are the weaknesses of the Long-Term Watershed Vision Plan?		8. What, if any potential refinements would you propose for the Long-Term Watershed Vision Plan?		9. Do you feel better informed after this meeting than before?		10. Did you attend previous Lick Run Community Design Workshops?		11. Additional comments?		Contact Information									
YES	NO	Comment		Comment		Comment		YES	NO	Comment		Comment		Comment		YES	NO	Comment		YES	NO	CDW1 08/11	CDW2 10/11	Comments	Name	Email	Phone				
18	1			Will solve CSO problem in a financially sound way; will beautify S. Fairmount; environmental improvements	Will need frequent maintenance to keep it beautiful- much better there now	Dog Park; "food court"		1			Environmental improvement; clean water			I would like to see more environmental areas in South Fairmount in general.			1		1					Jill Keith	jilki@aol.com	919-2298					
19	1			Giving a reason to want to spend time in SF. Beautification.	Why does it have to take so long?	A dog park; incorporate the MSD project into the reconstruction of Harrison Ave.		1			Community investment; population growth.			More bike-friendly roads	1		1		1					Jacquelyn Chisch	jac.3sisters@hotmail.com	513-623-0615					
20	1							1						Turn the blacksmith shop into a Little Italy Shop or museum or coffee shop.	1			1					Need to receive the flyer in the mail much earlier. Just received the flyer yesterday. Just happened to be off work.	Rene Thomas							
21						Keep affordable housing; water power; solar power																									
22					Use this opportunity to allow the community to share absolute ownership of parks. 50% owned by park board and 50% owned by S. Fairmount Community Council.	Create green hiking/bike trails along old unused train tracks up in the surrounding hills. Make sure to keep residential zoning value, property affordable- do not limit new higher income population because of new development and help lower income families live better!																									
23				Use hill side road with water storage & walls to slow down water flow. Put road on top. (Sketch on paper)	Have lots only to Hi side of road- use all natural drainage.																					Don Bernard	641-0655 235-0209				
24	1			Good comprehensive approach	?	none at this time		1																							
25	1																	1									First timer was invited by S. Fair. County				
26	1			Separation of water - other underground way is better	Too costly for home owners. Houses in Queen City need to be tore down - they're terrible in 1700 block	if you buy properties tear them down immediately so they are not open to drug users and rodents																									
27	1			I understand your propaganda more, the excuses to take away people's rights.	It will make the rich elitist richer at the expense of the poor and middle class people	It imposes command development, part of command economics, that is Communism, that always causes poverty, oppression and unrest	Do neither of these two projects, do rain barrels and water gardens, etc.				It causes far more problems for the people than help	It takes away control of their community and themselves		Adding more debt does not solve our debt crisis. It looks like the roadway will be greatly reduced, very bad and harmful	1			but this is unexceptionable and unconstitutional	1		1	1		History and the lessons of our own times prove socialism/fascism/communism do terrible harm to the people and communities	Jim Fitch	amesfitch@cinci.rr.com	513-451-0515				
28	1			Leverages mandated investment for deeper community benefit. Balances hardship and positive outcome for neighborhood. Creates an attractive urban landscape while solving an incredible engineering problem.	Burdens ratepayers with costs to remedy work of past generations. Proposes demolition of properties with economic value. Landscaped open space has debatable economic benefit	Get into the whole environmental impact, for example, explicit comparison of the base alternative project with the deep tunnel. Give teeth to/embrace concerns about jobs, neighborhoods. Include a resident or business owner in advocating the planning approach.					Shares the burden and involves upstream residents in stormwater management. Takes seriously the engineering details; lower comparative cost; enables future investment	not enthusiastic community support, in fact, vocal opposition. Label the vision slides as distinct from the base plan, if they are. Allow for future mass-transit investment	Consider/communicate potential increased land value, business activity, sense of community through the alternative concept. Allow for more permeable cross-neighborhood connections	1					1		1	1	support (continue to support) community engagement on the community's development of enthusiasm through open information is answer to questions and encouraging the South Fairmount Neighborhood Council to involve a full cross-section of the neighborhood and move toward constructive consensus. For next time, try even harder to draw-out and understand community concerns early	Cooper Gardiner	cgardiner@cinci.rr.com	513-310-3098					
29	1			Redevelopment	none								none															Cooper Gardiner	cgardiner@cinci.rr.com	513-310-3098	
30				I really like the daylighting alternative	No alternative transportation - light rail																							Marc Gay	marc.gay@hillgroup.com	513-922-2651	
31	1																											Let's build westwood into Blvd. like Houston side roadway for community trans	Joe Thoman	well@fuse.net	513-519-9654
32	1			[underlined "MSD is proposing to implement"	Cheaper costs? I don't believe the \$126M proposed/estimated cost includes the loss of property tax & income tax from the loss of businesses	Lack of vision to keep open businesses; timeline to obtain and construct doesn't seem accurate; change of estimate of deep tunnel from \$244M to \$300-400M seems misleading given cheaper costs of material and labor now vs. 2006	narrow the waterway if need be, or put a portion underground to help work around homes and businesses																								
33	1			However, how was MSD able to acquire grants for a project that will not even be started for 2 more years and finished in 5 more years?	Pretty pictures and farmers market option	not enough plans, more resources for this development needed. Why did MSD wait so long? Why are there not more options of conserving space better? How will this effect property values and taxes? Will temp. relocated residents be able to afford to return?	Creating a deeper development for waterway, build a corridor over it for Queen & Westwood to travel, then create more zoning options where Westwood are now?																								

MSD Lick Run Community Design Workshop 3: February 23, 2012

1. After seeing the presentation, do you have a better understanding of what MSD is proposing to implement as part of an alternative solution for CSO reduction?		2. What are the strengths of the preliminary Urban Waterway Plan?		3. What are the weaknesses of the preliminary Urban Waterway Plan?		4. What, if any potential refinements would you propose for the preliminary Urban Waterway Plan?		5. Given your understanding at this point, do you support MSD's investment in the alternative to the deep tunnel?		6. What are the strengths of the Long-Term Watershed Vision Plan?		7. What are the weaknesses of the Long-Term Watershed Vision Plan?		8. What, if any potential refinements would you propose for the Long-Term Watershed Vision Plan?		9. Do you feel better informed after this meeting than before?		10. Did you attend previous Lick Run Community Design Workshops?		11. Additional comments?		Contact Information					
YES	NO	Comment		Comment		Comment		YES	NO	Comment		Comment		Comment		YES	NO	Comment		YES	NO	CDW1 08/11	CDW2 10/11	Comments	Name	Email	Phone
34	1			Addressing sustainable (and green) solutions - daylighting to current water/sewers issues																							
35	1			some historic buildings proposed for preservation on site or by relocation; blacksmith shop proposed for preservation; celebration feature; pedestrian bridges and walkways.	No solution to serious traffic issues; high volume of high-speed traffic; unsafe and will determine and detract from ambience of waterway	slow down traffic on QC; save as many historic buildings as possible; rebuild density by moving buildings onto vacant lots when necessary		1						plan for improved public transit; infill on vacant block (where fast food restaurants are) needs to be compatible with neighborhood in terms of building types and land uses not a strip mall		1		1	1				Vitt & Stermer building needs to be preserved, by relocation if necessary				
36	1			water quality improvement; community asset; lower cost				1								1			1				Thanks for showing the connection to Mill	Lora Alberto			
37	1			improved quality of life and neighborhood identity				1								1			1								
38	1			opportunities to beautify the neighborhood, which may lead to more economic development				1															not necessarily a weakness, but would have liked to hear more on the apples-to-apples cost comparison. Will this be available to the public before the March 2012 deadline to county?				
39	1			anything is good that takes all the yuck out of our water and upgrades the flow into the Ohio	has the potential to make an attractive area the community can be proud of as a useful recreational place. Cleans water; prevents floods - better than a Corps of Engineers project!	same big challenges: need to do a lot of education as to why this is needed: cleaner water for our health. Try to put a value on the intangibles - beauty, education for the nearby schools, place to enjoy etc.		1					making it palatable to the nay-sayers. Good luck on trying to convince some of the people! Q to them: What if the people years ago had not made the investment in their visions for the Cincy parks, the museums, zoo, symphony, etc.? I am truly grateful to them.					1									
40	1			it will help to revitalize the Queen City - Westwood Aves. And bring in a more ecological system.	It needs to be sure not to remove current businesses but seek rather to provide relocation opportunities for them to remain and be a part of the new revitalized community	the plan appears to have considered all aspects of the water over flow.		1					Not really sure what the long-term project involves						1				It would be advisable for the project operators (managers) to consider the suggestions of the community council (south fairmount). I whole-heartily support the concept presented (green).	Anthony Hill	bethel@fuse.net	513-703-5422	
41	1			raised more issues than you solved	1) Possible solution to water issue 2) possible change in land uses to be more comprehensive	1) costs; 2) lack of long range vision; 3) business plan is weak; 4) lacks of approach		1		qualified			change of land use patterns	lacks of vision of long range		1		1	1	1			you created a negative atmosphere through your presentation: 1) too long 2) too repetitive; 3) too much to cover	Barry Cholak		471-5508	
42	1			there are many strengths. Some are: it saves money over the "tunnel" concept; it beautifies the neighborhood. It creates potential development. It creates a nice entry to the Western Hills area.	auto traffic noise may detract from "green" experience	make sure there is adequate maintenance. Create potential for bike trail to western hills/glenway crossing area up old csx right of way		1			similar to #2		none	see #4		1			1								
43	1			giving thought to the environment and sustainability of the area.	could lose businesses permanently in the area	I like the surface water proposal. If possible to work with SFCC to remove their fears of losing jobs		1						not aware of any		1			1								
44	1			cost. Neighborhood beautification.	job loss. Revenue reduction. Cultural loss. Loss of neighborhood identity	it looks good. The community must be counted into the planning. This can be a win-win for everyone.		1		flexibility			It's not certain. It may not happen and it might be the part people are depending for neighborhood longevity.	Right now it's nebulous. It provides potential but does identify a funding method to make it real. It represents the future but lacks substance.		1			1								
45	1			lower initial cost than default plan; lower ongoing cost	potential for degrading appearance (litter)	get funding from other/additional sources than ratepayers		1					potential for environmental degradation if NOT maintained			1			1	1	1						
46	1			Clear thoughtful explanation of problem; legal edict; 2 possible solutions; plan selection of most useful to Lick Run Valley residents + most economical to MSD patrons also.	Is creative, but essentially practical. Cin's sewer system is known nationally to be archaic by professionals. *The plan has gotten the support of the Lick Run Valley inhabitants (the SFCC represents no more than 20 persons at the most)	No viable businesses' location and relocation that I can see. I would like to work with the business community to this end - relocation vs. job loss, etc. (I am a woman business owner) and activist in civic affairs.		1					Traffic flow is as important as sewage and water flow - we cannot agree with that very basic fact. We have always been a "pass thru" community.														
47	1			It looks good and will bring people back to the neighborhood	will my business and old home, north of queen city - get scrutiny and cause me problems; also, a lot of businesses are being impacted; McD, rally's Thompson	like it, no changes		1		Definitely						1			1	1							
48	1							1								1			1	1				Louis Troy Long		513-236-0080	
49	1							1								1			1	1				Gary Carmony			
																1			1	1				Pat Garner		513-921-1877	

MSD Lick Run Community Design Workshop 3: February 23, 2012

1. After seeing the presentation, do you have a better understanding of what MSD is proposing to implement as part of an alternative solution for CSO reduction?		2. What are the strengths of the preliminary Urban Waterway Plan?		3. What are the weaknesses of the preliminary Urban Waterway Plan?		4. What, if any potential refinements would you propose for the preliminary Urban Waterway Plan?		5. Given your understanding at this point, do you support MSD's investment in the alternative to the deep tunnel?		6. What are the strengths of the Long-Term Watershed Vision Plan?		7. What are the weaknesses of the Long-Term Watershed Vision Plan?		8. What, if any potential refinements would you propose for the Long-Term Watershed Vision Plan?		9. Do you feel better informed after this meeting than before?		10. Did you attend previous Lick Run Community Design Workshops?		11. Additional comments?		Contact Information					
YES	NO	Comment		Comment		Comment		YES	NO	Comment		Comment		Comment		YES	NO	Comment		YES	NO	CDW1 08/11	CDW2 10/11	Comments	Name	Email	Phone
50	1							1								1				1		1			Josie Carmony		
51																											
52																											
53																											
54																											
55																											
56																											

43 3

yes no unsure
37 2 1
92.50% 5.00% 2.50%

30 2

24 10 16 18